

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/785,396	MIEHER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gordon J. Stock	2877	

All Participants:
Status of Application: after non-final

 (1) Gordon J. Stock.

(3) _____.

 (2) Attorney Mary Olynick.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 January 2007
Time: 12:50 pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The attorney mentioned that the response filed January 24, 2007 for application 10/785821 was inadvertently sent as the response of January 22, 2007 for 10/785,396. Subsequently, the response will be treated as non-responsive.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)